arXiv:1702.03536v2 [math.CO] 15 Apr 2017

A NEW LOWER BOUND FOR THE ON-LINE COLORING OF INTERVALS WITH BANDWIDTH

PATRYK MIKOS

Abstract. The on-line interval coloring and its variants are important combinatorial problems with many applications in network multiplexing, resource allocation and job scheduling. In this paper we present a new lower bound of 4.1626 for the competitive ratio for the on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth which improves the best known lower bound of $\frac{24}{7}$. For the on-line coloring of unit intervals with bandwidth we improve the lower bound of 1.831 to 2.

1. Introduction

An *on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth* is a two-person game, played in rounds by Presenter and Algorithm. In each round Presenter introduces a new interval on the real line and its bandwidth - a real number from $[0, 1]$. Algorithm assigns a color to the incoming interval in such a way that for each color γ and any point p on the real line, the sum of bandwidths of intervals containing p and colored γ does not exceed 1. The color of the new interval is assigned before Presenter introduces the next interval and the assignment is irrevocable. The goal of Algorithm is to minimize the number of different colors used during the game, while the goal of Presenter is to maximize it.

An *on-line coloring of unit intervals with bandwidth* is a variant of on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth game in which all introduced intervals are of length exactly 1.

In the context of various on-line coloring games, the measure of quality of a strategy for Algorithm is given by the competitive analysis. A coloring strategy for Algorithm is r*-competitive* if it uses at most $r \cdot c$ colors for any c-colorable set of intervals. The *absolute competitive ratio* for a problem is the infimum of all values r such that there exists an r-competitive strategy for Algorithm for this problem. Let $\chi_A(\mathcal{I})$ be the number of colors used by Algorithm A on the set $\mathcal{I}$ of intervals with bandwidth, and $OPT(\mathcal{I})$ be the minimum number of colors required to color intervals in the set $\mathcal{I}$.

The *asymptotic competitive ratio* for Algorithm A, denoted by $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty$, is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_A^\infty = \liminf_{k\to\infty}\{\tfrac{\chi_A(\mathcal{I})}{k} : OPT(\mathcal{I}) = k\}$$

Research partially supported by NCN grant number 2014/14/A/ST6/00138.

The *asymptotic competitive ratio* for a problem is the infimum of all values $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty$ such that A is an Algorithm for this problem.

In this paper we give lower bounds on competitive ratios for on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth and for unit version of this problem. We obtain these results by presenting explicit strategies for Presenter that force Algorithm to use many colors while the presented set of intervals is colorable with a smaller number of colors.

1.1. **Previous work.** A variant of on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth in which all intervals introduced by Presenter have bandwidth 1 is known as an on-line interval coloring. The competitive ratio for this problem was established by Kierstead and Trotter [6]. They constructed a strategy for Algorithm that uses at most $3\omega - 2$ colors on ω-colorable set of intervals. They also presented a matching lower bound – a strategy for Presenter that forces Algorithm to use at least $3\omega - 2$ colors. The unit variant of the on-line interval coloring was studied by Epstein and Levy [4]. They presented a strategy for Presenter that forces Algorithm to use at least $\left\lfloor \frac{3\omega}{2} \right\rfloor$ colors. Moreover, they showed that a natural greedy algorithm uses at most $2\omega - 1$ colors.

A variant of the on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth in which all intervals have the same endpoints is known as the on-line bin packing, see [3] for a survey.

On-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth was first posed in 2004. Adamy and Erlebach [1] showed a 195-competitive algorithm for this problem. An improved analysis by Pemmaraju et al. [8] showed that Adamy-Erlebach algorithm has competitive ratio 35. Narayanaswamy [7] and Azar et al. [2] presented a 10-competitive algorithm, while Epstein and Levy [5] showed a lower bound of $\frac{24}{7}$ for the asymptotic competitive ratio in this problem. On-line coloring of unit intervals with bandwidth was studied by Epstein and Levy [5]. They presented a lower bound of 2 and upper bound of $\frac{7}{2}$ for the absolute competitive ratio in this problem. For the asymptotic competitive ratio, they showed a 3.178-competitive algorithm and a lower bound of 1.831.

1.2. **Our result.** For the on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth, we prove that the asymptotic competitive ratio is at least 4.1626. For the on-line coloring of unit intervals with bandwidth, we present an explicit strategy for Presenter that forces Algorithm to use at least $2k - 1$ different colors while the presented set of intervals is k-colorable.

2. Interval coloring

At first we recall a strategy proposed by Kierstead and Trotter for Presenter in the on-line interval coloring game. We use this strategy as a substrategy in our main result.

Theorem 1 (Kierstead, Trotter [6])**.** *For every $\omega \in \mathbb{N}_+$, there is a strategy for Presenter that forces Algorithm to use at least $3\omega - 2$ different colors in the on-line interval coloring game played on a ω-colorable set of intervals. Moreover, Presenter can play in such a way that every introduced interval is contained in a fixed real interval $[L, R]$.*

Below we present a strategy for Presenter in the on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth. For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we ensure that at any point of the game, the set of intervals introduced by Presenter is k-colorable.

Definition 2. *A pair of sequences $([j_1, \ldots, j_n], [x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ such that $x_i \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $j_i | k$ and $\forall_{q<i} : j_q < j_i \leqslant \frac{1}{3}k$ is called a* k-schema*.*

Not every k-schema describes a strategy for Presenter. Later we give additional conditions that a given k-schema has to satisfy to describe a valid strategy.

The strategy for Presenter based on a k-schema $([j_1, \ldots, j_n], [x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ consists of 2 phases. The first phase, called *separation phase*, consists of n subphases indexed $1, \ldots, n$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of *marked intervals*, which initially is empty. For each color c used by Algorithm in the separation phase, the set $\mathcal{M}$ contains the first interval colored by Algorithm with c.

All intervals introduced by Presenter in the i-th subphase are contained in same region $[L_i, R_i]$, have length $s_i = \frac{1}{2}(R_i - L_i)$, and bandwidth $\frac{j_i}{k}$, see Figure 1. Let l_i be the rightmost right endpoint of a non-marked interval introduced in the i-th subphase, or $l_i = L_i + s_i$ if such an interval does not exist. Let r_i be the leftmost right endpoint of a marked interval introduced in the i-th subphase, or $r_i = R_i$ if such an interval does not exist. For the first subphase set $L_1 = 0$, $R_1 = 2$ and for the i-th subphase $L_i = l_{i-1}$ and $R_i = r_{i-1}$, see Figure 1, where l_{i-1} and r_{i-1} are values of those variables after the end of the $(i-1)$-subphase.

In the i-th subphase, Presenter introduces new intervals until gets exactly x_i new colors. Let $p_i = \frac{1}{2}(l_i + r_i)$. A new interval introduced by Presenter has endpoints $I = [p_i - s_i, p_i]$. If Algorithm colors I with one of already used colors, then l_i changes to p_i. Otherwise, r_i changes to p_i and interval I is marked.

Assume that Presenter constructs some coloring after each subphase. Let Γ_i be the number of colors used by Presenter in that coloring on intervals in the set $\mathcal{M}$ after i-th subphase, see Figure 2. In the second phase, called the *final phase*, Presenter uses a strategy from Theorem 1 in the real interval $[L_{n+1}, R_{n+1}]$ for $\omega = k - \Gamma_n$. Each interval introduced by Presenter in the final phase has bandwidth 1. This completes the description of a strategy for Presenter based on a k-schema.



FIGURE 1. Intervals introduced in the i-th subphase in relation to the intervals introduced in the $(i-1)$-th subphase. Marked intervals are marked with *.



FIGURE 2. Distribution of intervals in the first $i-1$ separation subphases.

Now, we show when a given k-schema $([j_1, \ldots, j_n], [x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ actually describes a valid strategy for Presenter, i.e. when Presenter is able to force Algorithm to use at least x_i new colors in the i-th subphase.

During the i-th subphase, we have $L_i + s_i \leqslant p_i \leqslant R_i$. Thus, the distance between rightmost right endpoint of an interval introduced in the i-th subphase and leftmost right endpoint of interval introduced in this phase is at most s_i, hence all intervals introduced by Presenter in the i-th subphase form a clique. See Figure 1.

Note that to the right of l_{i-1} there are only marked intervals from subphases $1, \ldots, i-1$. Each interval introduced in the i-th subphase intersects with every interval previously introduced in the i-th subphase and all marked intervals from subphases $1, \ldots, i-1$. Thus, if Presenter introduces at most $\frac{k}{j_i}(k - \Gamma_{i-1})$ intervals in the i-th subphase, then all intervals can be colored with k colors.

Intervals introduced in the i-th subphase intersect with exactly χ_{i-1} marked intervals from subphases $1, \ldots, i-1$ and by the definition of set $\mathcal{M}$ each of them has a different color. Thus, in the real interval $[L_i, R_i]$, each color marked in a subphase $1 \leqslant q < i$ has accumulated bandwidth $\frac{j_q}{k}$. We assumed that $\forall_{q<i} : j_q < j_i$, hence at most $\frac{k}{j_i} - 1$ intervals can be colored with a color c that was used in the previous subphases. Thus, in the i-th subphase Algorithm can be forced to use at least $\Delta_i = \left\lceil \frac{j_i}{k}\left(\frac{k}{j_i}(k - \Gamma_{i-1}) - \chi_{i-1}\left(\frac{k}{j_i} - 1\right)\right)\right\rceil = k - \Gamma_{i-1} - \chi_{i-1} + \left\lceil \frac{j_i}{k}\chi_{i-1}\right\rceil$ new colors.

After i-th subphase, Presenter assigns colors to the intervals introduced in the i-th subphase. First, to the new marked intervals using greedy algorithm. Then to the non-marked intervals from i-th subphase using at most k colors in total. Note that some intervals might be colored with some of already used Γ_{i-1} colors. Because the number of new marked intervals in the i-th subphase is exactly x_i, each of them has bandwidth j_i and those intervals are colored using greedy algorithm, then Γ_i is a properly defined quantity for a given k-schema and depends on j_q and x_q for all $q < i$, but does not depend on the Algorithm's coloring.

Definition 3. *A k-schema $([j_1, \ldots, j_n], [x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ is a* k-strategy *if $\forall_i : x_i \leqslant \Delta_i$.*

Presenter using a k-strategy $([j_1, \ldots, j_n], [x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ forces Algorithm to use at least $\Sigma_{q=1}^{n} x_q + 3(k - \Gamma_n) - 2$ colors, while the set of introduced intervals is k-colorable.

Example 4. *For a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and a k-strategy $([1], [k])$ we have $\Gamma_1 = 1$. Presenter using this strategy forces Algorithm to use at least $k + 3(k-1) - 2 = 4k - 5$ colors, while the set of introduced intervals is k-colorable. Thus, the asymptotic competitive ratio in the on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth is at least 4.*

j_i	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	12	15	20	24	30
x_i	120	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	4	5	4	8
Γ_i	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	4	6

TABLE 1. Example of a strategy S_{120}.

Example 5. *Consider the 120-strategy given by the values j_i, x_i and Γ_i from the Table 1. Presenter using this strategy forces Algorithm to use $152 + 3(120 - 6) - 2 = 492$ colors, while the set of introduced intervals is 120-colorable. Thus, the absolute competitive ratio for the on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth is at least $4\frac{1}{10}$.*

Example 5 is an example of a k-strategy for Presenter for a fixed k, and gives a lower bound for the absolute competitive ratio. In order to give lower bounds for the asymptotic competitive ratio, we introduce a notion of a *scalable strategy*.

Definition 6. *For a k-strategy $S_k = ([j_1, \ldots, j_n], [x_1, \ldots, x_n])$, an ak-schema $S_k^a = ([aj_1, \ldots, aj_n], [ax_1, \ldots, ax_n])$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}_+$ is called an a-scaled S_k schema.*

Note that a-scaled k-strategy might not be an ak-strategy. For example S_{120} is a 120-strategy but S_{120}^3 is not a 360-strategy. To see this, observe that in S_{120}^3 we have $12 = x_{10} > \Delta_{10} = 11$.

Consider a zk-scaled S_k schema for $z \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We would like to introduce additional constraints on a k-strategy S_k that will ensure that S_k^{zk} is a zk^2-strategy.

In the i-th subphase of the game played using a schema S_k^{zk} Presenter forces Algorithm to use zkx_i new colors. Each new marked interval has bandwidth $\frac{zkj_i}{zk^2} = \frac{j_i}{k}$ for some j_i such that $j_i | k$. Thus, these new intervals after i-th subphase are colored by Presenter with $\frac{j_i}{k} zkx_i = zj_ix_i$ colors. By induction, for the S_k^{zk} schema we have $\Gamma_i = z\Sigma_{q=1}^{i} j_q x_q$ and $\chi_i = zk\Sigma_{q=1}^{i} x_q$. Presenter using this schema in the i-th subphase can introduce $\frac{k}{j_i}(zk^2 - \Gamma_{i-1}) = \frac{zk}{j_i}\big(k^2 - \Sigma_{q=1}^{i-1} j_q x_q\big)$ intervals. Moreover, at most $\frac{k}{j_i} - 1$ intervals can be colored by Algorithm with an already used color c. Thus, in the i-th subphase Algorithm is forced to use at least $\Delta_i = \left\lceil \frac{j_i}{k}\left(\frac{zk}{j_i}\big(k^2 - \Sigma_{q=1}^{i-1} j_q x_q\big) - zk\Sigma_{q=1}^{i-1} x_q\left(\frac{k}{j_i} - 1\right)\right)\right\rceil$ new colors, which after simplifying is $\Delta_i = z\big(k^2 + \Sigma_{q=1}^{i-1}(j_i - j_q - k)x_q\big)$. The S_k^{zk} schema is a zk^2-strategy if $\forall_i : zkx_i \leqslant \Delta_i$. Thus, we have a condition

$$x_i \leqslant k + \frac{1}{k}\Sigma_{q=1}^{i-1}(j_i - j_q - k)x_q \tag{1}$$

Definition 7. *A k-strategy S_k that satisfies Equation* (1) *is called a* scalable strategy.

Note that Equation (1) does not depend on z. This leads to the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *If a k-strategy S_k satisfies Equation* (1)*, then for every $z \in \mathbb{N}_+$ a zk-scaled S_k schema is a zk^2-strategy.*

Lemma 9. *For every $k, z \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and a scalable k-strategy S_k the competitive ratio guaranteed by the S_k^{zk} strategy is not less than the competitive ratio guaranteed by the S_k strategy.*

Proof. Let $S_k = ([j_1, \ldots, j_n], [x_1, \ldots, x_n])$. Presenter using a strategy S_k forces Algorithm to use $X = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} x_i + 3(k - \Gamma_n) - 2$ colors, while the set of intervals introduced by Presenter is k-colorable. Presenter using a strategy S_k^{zk} forces Algorithm to use $\bar{X} = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} zkx_i + 3\big(zk^2 - \bar{\Gamma}_n\big) - 2$ colors, while the set of intervals introduced by Presenter is zk^2-colorable. Observe that the number of colors required in greedy coloring of all intervals marked by S_k^{zk} strategy is at most zk times bigger than the number of colors required in greedy coloring of all intervals marked by S_k strategy, i.e. $\bar{\Gamma}_n \leqslant zk\Gamma_n$. Thus, we have $\frac{1}{zk^2}\bar{X} \geqslant \frac{1}{k}X + \frac{2}{k} - \frac{2}{zk^2} > \frac{1}{k}X$.

□

j_i	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	12	15	20	24	30
x_i	120	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	6	4	8
Γ_i	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	4	6

TABLE 2. Example of a scalable strategy $\bar{S}_{120}$

Example 10. *Table 2 is a description of a scalable strategy with competitive ratio* $4\frac{1}{10}$. *This strategy implies a lower bound of* $4\frac{1}{10} + \frac{2}{120} = 4\frac{7}{60}$ *for the asymptotic competitive ratio in the on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth.*

In order to obtain the best lower bound for the asymptotic competitive ratio we can chose k to be a *highly composed number*. As a sequence $j_1, \ldots, j_n$ we chose consecutive divisors of k and as a sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ we greedily choose the maximum numbers x_i such that the resulting strategy is a scalable strategy.

Table 3 contains the list of lower bounds for the asymptotic competitive ratio we got for some values of k using this method.

k	ratio	k	ratio
60	4.0500000	2162160	4.1624500
120	4.1166667	21621600	4.1624777
360	4.1416667	183783600	4.1625239
840	4.1523809	2327925600	4.1625617
2520	4.1587301	48886437600	4.1625717
7560	4.1607142	321253732800	4.1625883
10080	4.1611111	4497552259200	4.1625893
15120	4.1614417	97821761637600	4.1625961
25200	4.1615873	866421317361600	4.1626008
27720	4.1618326	4043299481020800	4.1626015
110880	4.1621753	12129898443062400	4.1626018
554400	4.1622763	224403121196654400	4.1626043

TABLE 3. A table of asymptotic competitive ratios for different values of k

Theorem 11. *Asymptotic competitive ratio for the on-line coloring of intervals with bandwidth is at least* 4.1626.

3. Unit intervals coloring

Theorem 12. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, there is a strategy for Presenter that forces Algorithm to use at least $2k-1$ different colors in the on-line coloring of unit intervals with bandwidth played on a k-colorable set of intervals.*

Proof. For a given $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, Presenter at first plays only the separation phase of a k-strategy $([1],[k])$. Because $L_1 = 0$, $R_1 = 2$ and $s_1 = \frac{1}{2}(R_1 - L_1)$, every introduced interval has length 1. Moreover, there is a point $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}(l_1 + r_1)$ such that every marked interval has its right endpoint to the right of p_1 and every non-marked interval has its right endpoint to the left of p_1.

Now, Presenter introduces $k-1$ intervals $[p_1, p_1+1]$ of bandwidth 1 each. Every interval introduced in this phase gets a new color. Thus, Algorithm uses $|\mathcal{M}| + k - 1 = 2k - 1$ colors in total, while the introduced set of intervals is k-colorable. □

References

[1] Udo Adamy and Thomas Erlebach. Online coloring of intervals with bandwidth. In *WAOA 2003: 1st International Workshop on Approximation and Online Algorithms, Budapest, Hungary, September 2003. Proceedings*, volume 2909 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 1–12, 2004.

[2] Yossi Azar, Amos Fiat, Meital Levy, and NS Narayanaswamy. An improved algorithm for online coloring of intervals with bandwidth. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 363(1):18–27, 2006.

[3] János Csirik and Gerhard J. Woeginger. *On-line packing and covering problems*, pages 147–177. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998.

[4] Leah Epstein and Meital Levy. Online interval coloring and variants. In *ICALP 2005: 32nd International Colloquim on Automata, Languages and Programming, Lisbon, Portugal, July 2005. Proceedings*, volume 3580 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 602–613, 2005.

[5] Leah Epstein and Meital Levy. Online interval coloring with packing constraints. In *MFCS 2005: 30th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science, Gdańsk, Poland, August 2005. Proceedings*, volume 3618 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 295–307, 2005.

[6] Henry A. Kierstead and William T. Trotter. An extremal problem in recursive combinatorics. In *12th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Baton Rouge, LA, USA, March 1981. Proceedings, vol. II*, volume 33 of *Congressus Numerantium*, pages 143–153, 1981.

[7] NS Narayanaswamy. Dynamic storage allocation and on-line colouring interval graphs. In *COCOON 2004: 10th Annual International Conference on Computing and Combinatorics, Jeju Island, Korea, August 2004. Proceedings*, volume 3106 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 329–338, 2004.

[8] Sriram V. Pemmaraju, Rajiv Raman, and Kasturi R. Varadarajan. Max-coloring and online coloring with bandwidths on interval graphs. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms*, 7(3):35:1–35:21, 2011.

Theoretical Computer Science Department,, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland

E-mail address: mikos@tcs.uj.edu.pl